

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 08/325,278	Applicant(s) BJORCK ET AL.	
	Examiner N. M. Minnifield	Art Unit 1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) Scott D. Locke, 44877.

Date of Interview: 8 July 2004

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: After Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
14-19, 21

Prior art documents discussed:
of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


NITA MINNIFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that the arguments, comments and Helleburst Declaration set forth in the After Final filed 4/14/04 were found persuasive, and that the art rejection with reagrd to claims 14-16, 18 and 19 has been withdrawn. Examiner requested an interference sequence search on claimed SEQ ID NO: 1 and 3. Claims 14-19 and 21 are now in condition for allowance, and have been renumbered 1-7 respectively..